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Calgary CMA: Typology of Neighbourhoods by Census Tracts, 2006

Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

A: Older Working Class
A1: Non-Immigrant
A2: Immigrant
A3: Almost Middle Class

B: Urban/Suburban Homeowner
B1: Affluent
B2: Working Class

C: Old City Establishment
C1: Affluent Professionals

D: Young, Single & Mobile Renters
D1: Well-Educated Professionals
D2: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

E: Disadvantaged Groups
E1: Impoverished Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts*
E2: Lower Status in Older Low-Rise Apts
E3: Better-Educated Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts*
E4: Immigrant Diversity in Mixed Residential Areas

F: Family Ethnoburbs
F1: East Asian Lower Income*
F2: Multicultural Middle Income
F3: South Asian Larger Families

* indicates that the particular cluster is not present on the map.
Halifax CMA: Typology of Neighbourhoods by Census Tracts, 2006

A: Older Working Class
   - A1: Non-Immigrant
   - A2: Immigrant*
   - A3: Almost Middle Class

B: Urban/Suburban Homeowner
   - B1: Affluent
   - B2: Working Class

C: Old City Establishment
   - C1: Affluent Professionals

D: Young, Single & Mobile Renters
   - D1: Well-Educated Professionals
   - D2: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

D1: Well-Educated Professionals

D2: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

E: Disadvantaged Groups
   - E1: Impoverished Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts*
   - E2: Lower Status in Older Low-Rise Apts
   - E3: Better-Educated Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts*
   - E4: Immigrant Diversity in Mixed Residential Areas

F: Family Ethnoburbs
   - F1: East Asian Lower Income*
   - F2: Multicultural Middle Income*
   - F3: South Asian Larger Families*

* indicates that the particular cluster is not present on the map.

Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

Source: (1) Statistics Canada, Census Profile Series, 2006
(2) Statistics Canada, Census Road Network, 2011
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Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

A: Older Working Class
- A1: Non-Immigrant
- A2: Immigrant
- A3: Almost Middle Class

B: Urban/Suburban Homeowner
- B1: Affluent
- B2: Working Class

C: Old City Establishment
- C1: Affluent Professionals

D: Young, Single & Mobile Renters
- D1: Well-Educated Professionals
- D2: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

E: Disadvantaged Groups
- E1: Impoverished Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
- E2: Lower Status in Older Low-Rise Apts
- E3: Better-Educated Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
- E4: Immigrant Diversity in Mixed Residential Areas

F: Family Ethnoburbs
- F1: East Asian Lower Income
- F2: Multicultural Middle Income
- F3: South Asian Larger Families

* indicates that the particular cluster is not present on the map.

Not Classified
Highways and Major Roads (2011)
Former City of Hamilton (1996)
Name of Municipality (2006)
Dundas Community Name

Source: (1) Statistics Canada, Census Profile Series, 2006
(2) Statistics Canada, Census Road Network File 2011
Montréal CMA: Typology of Neighbourhoods by Census Tracts, 2006

Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

A: Older Working Class
   A1: Non-Immigrant
   A2: Immigrant
   A3: Almost Middle Class

B: Urban/Suburban Homeowner
   B1: Affluent
   B2: Working Class

C: Old City Establishment
   C1: Affluent Professionals

D: Young, Single & Mobile Renters
   D1: Well-Educated Professionals
   D2: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

E: Disadvantaged Groups
   E1: Impoverished Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
   E2: Lower Status in Older Low-Rise Apts
   E3: Better-Educated Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
   E4: Immigrant Diversity in Mixed Residential Areas

F: Family Ethnoburbs
   F1: East Asian Lower Income
   F2: Multicultural Middle Income
   F3: South Asian Larger Families*

* indicates that the particular cluster is not present on the map

Not Classified
Highways and Major Roads (2011)
Subway (2011)
Regional Municipality (2006)

St. Lawrence River
Lac Saint-Louis
Kahnawake

Source: (1) Statistics Canada, Census Profile Series, 2006
(2) Geobase Road Network, Quebec Edition 5
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Ottawa - Gatineau CMA: Typology of Neighbourhoods by Census Tracts, 2006

Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

A: Older Working Class
   A1: Non-Immigrant
   A2: Immigrant*
   A3: Almost Middle Class

B: Urban/Suburban Homeowner
   B1: Affluent
   B2: Working Class

C: Old City Establishment
   C1: Affluent Professionals

D: Young, Single & Mobile Renters
   D1: Well-Educated Professionals
   D2: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

E: Disadvantaged Groups
   E1: Impoverished Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
   E2: Lower Status in Older Low-Rise Apts
   E3: Better-Educated Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
   E4: Immigrant Diversity in Mixed Residential Areas

F: Family Ethnoburbs
   F1: East Asian Lower Income*
   F2: Multicultural Middle Income
   F3: South Asian Larger Families*

* indicates that the particular cluster is not present on the map.
Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

Source: (1) Statistics Canada, Census Profile Series, 2006 (2) Geobase Road Network File, 7.0 Edition
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City of Toronto: Typology of Neighbourhoods by Census Tracts, 2006

A: Older Working Class
0: Non-Immigrant
1: Immigrant
2: Almost Middle Class

B: Urban/Suburban Homeowner
0: Affluent
1: Working Class

C: Old City Establishment
0: Affluent Professionals

D: Young, Single & Mobile Renters
0: Well-Educated Professionals
1: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

E: Disadvantaged Groups
0: Impoverished Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
1: Lower Status in Older Low-Rise Apts
2: Better-Educated Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
3: Immigrant Diversity in Mixed Residential Areas

F: Family Ethnoburbs
0: East Asian Lower Income
1: Multicultural Middle Income
2: South Asian Larger Families

Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census Profile Series, 2006
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Vancouver CMA: Typology of Neighbourhoods by Census Tracts, 2006

A: Older Working Class
- A1: Non-Immigrant
- A2: Immigrant
- A3: Almost Middle Class

B: Urban/Suburban Homeowner
- B1: Affluent
- B2: Working Class

C: Old City Establishment
- C1: Affluent Professionals

D: Young, Single & Mobile Renters
- D1: Well-Educated Professionals
- D2: Low-Income Recent Immigrants

E: Disadvantaged Groups
- E1: Impoverished Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts*
- E2: Lower Status in Older Low-Rise Apts
- E3: Better-Educated Recent Immigrants in High-Rise Apts
- E4: Immigrant Diversity in Mixed Residential Areas

F: Family Ethnoburbs
- F1: East Asian Lower Income
- F2: Multicultural Middle Income
- F3: South Asian Larger Families

* indicates that the particular cluster is not present on the map

Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.
Winnipeg CMA: Typology of Neighbourhoods by Census Tracts, 2006

Note: The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 30 variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 15 distinct clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.