Halifax CMA: Typology of Neighbourhood Change by Census Tracts Based on Six Groups and Seventeen Clusters, 1981–2006

Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 17 clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.


Montréal CMA: Typology of Neighbourhood Change by Census Tracts
Based on Six Groups and Seventeen Clusters, 1981–2006

U: Aging in Place
- U1: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 65 Plus
- U2: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 50 Plus
- U3: Increase in Higher Status Older Adults

V: Immigrant Minorities Lagging Behind
- V1: Older Central City Immigrant
- V2: Younger Suburban Immigrant

W: Increased Socioeconomic Status
- W1: Emerging Middle Class
- W2: Emerging Young Professionals
- W3: Emerging New Elite

X: Embedded Economic Status
- X1: Middle Status in the Outer Suburbs
- X2: Middle Status in the Central City
- X3: Central City Elite Reinforcement
- X4: Declining Rental Housing

Y: Increased Asian Presence
- Y1: New Asian High-Rise
- Y2: Asian Diversification
- Y3: East Asian Succession

Z: Increased South Asian Presence
- Z1: Emerging South Asian
- Z2: South Asian Succession

Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 17 clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.
Ottawa - Gatineau CMA: Typology of Neighbourhood Change by Census Tracts Based on Six Groups and Seventeen Clusters, 1981–2006

Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 17 clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.
Toronto CMA: Typology of Neighbourhood Change by Census Tracts Based on Six Groups and Seventeen Clusters, 1981–2006

W: Increased Socioeconomic Status
- W1: Emerging Middle Class
- W2: Emerging Young Professionals
- W3: Emerging New Elite

V: Immigrant Minorities Lagging Behind
- V1: Older Central City Immigrant
- V2: Younger Suburban Immigrant

U: Aging in Place
- U1: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 65 Plus
- U2: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 50 Plus
- U3: Increase in Higher Status Older Adults

X: Embedded Economic Status
- X1: Middle Status in the Outer Suburbs
- X2: Middle Status in the Central City
- X3: Central City Elite Reinforcement
- X4: Declining Rental Housing

Y: Increased Asian Presence
- Y1: New Asian High-Rise
- Y2: Asian Diversification
- Y3: East Asian Succession

Z: Increased South Asian Presence
- Z1: Emerging South Asian
- Z2: South Asian Succession

Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas.
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Hamilton CMA: Typology of Neighbourhood Change by Census Tracts
Based on Six Groups and Seventeen Clusters, 1981–2006

U: Aging in Place
- U1: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 65 Plus
- U2: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 50 Plus
- U3: Increase in Higher Status Older Adults

V: Immigrant Minorities Lagging Behind
- V1: Older Central City Immigrant
- V2: Younger Suburban Immigrant

W: Increased Socioeconomic Status
- W1: Emerging Middle Class
- W2: Emerging Young Professionals
- W3: Emerging New Elite

X: Embedded Economic Status
- X1: Middle Status in the Outer Suburbs
- X2: Middle Status in the Central City
- X3: Central City Elite Reinforcement
- X4: Declining Rental Housing

Y: Increased Asian Presence
- Y1: New Asian High-Rise
- Y2: Asian Diversification
- Y3: East Asian Succession

Z: Increased South Asian Presence
- Z1: Emerging South Asian
- Z2: South Asian Succession

Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 17 clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.
Winnipeg CMA: Typology of Neighbourhood Change by Census Tracts Based on Six Groups and Seventeen Clusters, 1981–2006

U: Aging in Place
- U1: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 65 Plus
- U2: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 50 Plus
- U3: Increase in Higher Status Older Adults

V: Immigrant Minorities Lagging Behind
- V1: Older Central City Immigrant*
- V2: Younger Suburban Immigrant

W: Increased Socioeconomic Status
- W1: Emerging Middle Class
- W2: Emerging Young Professionals
- W3: Emerging New Elite*

X: Embedded Economic Status
- X1: Middle Status in the Outer Suburbs
- X2: Middle Status in the Central City
- X3: Central City Elite Reinforcement
- X4: Declining Rental Housing

Y: Increased Asian Presence
- Y1: New Asian High-Rise*
- Y2: Asian Diversification*
- Y3: East Asian Succession*

Z: Increased South Asian Presence
- Z1: Emerging South Asian*
- Z2: South Asian Succession*

* indicates that the cluster is not present on the map

Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 17 clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.
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Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 17 clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.

U: Aging in Place
- U1: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 65 Plus
- U2: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 50 Plus
- U3: Increase in Higher Status Older Adults

V: Immigrant Minorities Lagging Behind
- V1: Older Central City Immigrant*
- V2: Younger Suburban Immigrant

W: Increased Socioeconomic Status
- W1: Emerging Middle Class
- W2: Emerging Young Professionals
- W3: Emerging New Elite

X: Embedded Economic Status
- X1: Middle Status in the Outer Suburbs
- X2: Middle Status in the Central City
- X3: Central City Elite Reinforcement
- X4: Declining Rental Housing*

Y: Increased Asian Presence
- Y1: New Asian High-Rise*
- Y2: Asian Diversification
- Y3: East Asian Succession*

Z: Increased South Asian Presence
- Z1: Emerging South Asian*
- Z2: South Asian Succession*

* indicates that the cluster is not present on the map
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Vancouver CMA: Typology of Neighbourhood Change by Census Tracts Based on Six Groups and Seventeen Clusters, 1981–2006

U: Aging in Place
- U1: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 65 Plus
- U2: Increase in Disadvantaged Adults 50 Plus
- U3: Increase in Higher Status Older Adults

V: Immigrant Minorities Lagging Behind
- V1: Older Central City Immigrant
- V2: Younger Suburban Immigrant

W: Increased Socioeconomic Status
- W1: Emerging Middle Class
- W2: Emerging Young Professionals
- W3: Emerging New Elite

X: Embedded Economic Status
- X1: Middle Status in the Outer Suburbs
- X2: Middle Status in the Central City
- X3: Central City Elite Reinforcement
- X4: Declining Rental Housing

Y: Increased Asian Presence
- Y1: New Asian High-Rise
- Y2: Asian Diversification
- Y3: East Asian Succession

Z: Increased South Asian Presence
- Z1: Emerging South Asian
- Z2: South Asian Succession

Note: Data for 1981–2006 have been mapped to the 2006 census tract geography. The map is based on a hierarchical cluster analysis using 5 component scores derived from 24 change variables at the census tract level in 8 census metropolitan areas. The 17 clusters are organized into 6 broad groups based on their statistical similarity across these variables.