

RESEARCH GRANTS AND PARTNERSHIPS DIVISION  
DIVISION DES SUBVENTIONS DE RECHERCHE ET DES PARTENARIATS

June 24, 2016

Professor J. David Hulchanski  
Factor-Inwentash Faculty of Social Work  
University of Toronto  
246 Bloor St. West  
TORONTO ON  
M5S 1V4

Application Number: 895-2011-1004

Dear Professor Hulchanski:

The Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) has completed its Midterm Review of your Partnership Grant (PG) project entitled *Neighbourhood inequality, diversity, and change: trends, processes, consequences, and policy options for Canada's large Metropolitan areas*.

I am pleased to inform you that SSHRC will continue to fund your project. This decision rests upon the recommendation of a multidisciplinary Midterm Review Committee and on the criteria established by SSHRC. The mandate of the committee is to assess the progress of teams against their initial goals as outlined in the application and milestone report. In reviewing the submitted documents, this committee makes recommendations to SSHRC on the continuation of funding and provides feedback for the team to consider moving forward.

Enclosed, you will find the committee's comments. It is hoped that these comments will be of assistance to you in the further development of your project activities.

Should you have further questions, please feel free to contact Haythem Euchí at (613)943-1411 or by email at [Haythem.Euchi@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca](mailto:Haythem.Euchi@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca).

Please accept my best wishes for your ongoing partnership activities.

Sincerely,



Tim Wilson, PhD.  
Executive Director

cc: Dr. Vivek Goel, Vice-President, Research & Innovation, University of Toronto

## Partnership Grant Midterm Evaluation Form

**Project Number:** 895-2011-1004  
**Project Director:** David Hulchanski  
**Host Institution:** University of Toronto

### *Evaluation criteria*

1. Response to feedback from the expert panel and the adjudication committee on the formal application (evidence of revision, course correction and/or justification for opting for a different course of action)

**Progress Assessment:** Exceeds expectations

The committee found that the response to the feedback from the expert panel and the adjudication committee on the formal application is well organized, very detailed, clear and direct. The committee was satisfied with the evidence provided to show these concerns were taken seriously.

2. Governance and management structures (evidence of functionality and appropriateness)

**Progress Assessment:** Exceeds expectations

The committee judged that the governance and management structures are extremely detailed and well documented.

3. Evidence of support from host institution

**Progress Assessment:** Exceeds expectations

The committee positively noted that The University of Toronto has been providing strong financial support.

4. Degree of progress since the Milestone Report - Insight Activities (research) if applicable

**Progress Assessment:** Exceeds expectations

The committee found the insight activities to be excellent. It positively noted the significant publications, media coverage, and the addition of new partners, participants, and projects.

5. Degree of progress since the Milestone Report - Connection Activities (knowledge mobilization, etc.) if applicable

**Progress Assessment: Exceeds expectations**

The committee acknowledged the project's strong evidence of progress through workshops and media outreach. It positively noted the outstanding knowledge mobilization plans and found the addition of social media components relevant.

6. Research Training Development (evidence of student engagement and training)

**Progress Assessment: Exceeds expectations**

The committee positively noted the considerable involvement of students in all dimensions of the project, including conference presentations, co-publishing, and publishing. However, the committee would have liked to have seen more clarification surrounding the involvement of post-doctoral fellows in the project.

7. Evidence of partner organization engagement

**Progress Assessment: Exceeds expectations**

The committee positively noted the detailed commentary from partners which attests to the value they find in the partnership. Also, it appreciated the excellent additions financially and in terms of programming with the new partners.

8. Proof that the minimum 35% cash and/or in-kind contribution requirement has been met or confirmed for the rest of the grant

**Progress Assessment: Exceeds expectations**

The committee found that the project meets its minimum requirement of 35% cash/in-kind contribution.

9. Budget Update and Justification

**Progress Assessment: Exceeds expectations**

The committee considered the budget update and justification to be excellent.